

RECEIVED
MILED
P

1604

1 SHIRLEY V. REMMERT, PRO SE
2 990 Berkeley Avenue
3 Menlo Park, CA 94025
4 Tel: 650-921-8820

08 AUG -8 PM 3:19

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
U.S. DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
6 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
7 SAN FRANCISCO

9 Shirley V. Remmert,
10 Plaintiff,

11 v.

12 State of California;
13 District Attorney of
14 San Mateo County,
James P. Fox

PLAINTIFF'S REQUEST FOR
ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO
CONSIDER WHETHER CASES SHOULD
BE RELATED (CIVIL L. R. 7-11)/
PROPOSED ORDER

CASE NO. C-08-1645 CRB

HON. CHARLES R. BREYER

16 UNDER SUBMISSION

17 I, Plaintiff Shirley V. Remmert, hereby file a request for an administrative motion
18 to consider whether the following cases should be related under Civil L. R. 7-11 to the
19 above:

20 Case No. 2:08-CV-1698 KJM HC, Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus by Eva D.
21 Al-Zaghari, U. S. District Court, Sacramento;

22 Case No. 2:08-CV-1699 DAD HC, Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus by Julia C.
23 Venoya.

24 The relationship of the actions in the above cases centers on a unified cause of
25 action by the State of California agencies for San Mateo County and the District
26

1 Attorney's Office of San Mateo County claiming that I harmed incompetent persons. I
2 was convicted for misdemeanors regarding Eva Al-Zaghari (2 counts of harm to her as a
3 dependent and 1 - count of contempt of court.) Helping the declared incompetent Julia C.
4 Venoya escape from alleged mental and physical abuse in a county facility was used by
5 prosecutors to buttress their presentation of character evidence against me. In other
6 words, I was in the habit of taking the law into my own hands.
7
8

9 My main argument is that neither Eva Al-Z., my adult daughter, nor Julia V. were
10 incompetent at the time of the incidents for which I was prosecuted or convicted. Their
11 petitions will lead to the evidence that they were competent at that time and falsely
12 imprisoned. They are probably still competent barring further abuse by the county.
13
14

I declare that the above statements are true and correct under the penalties for
perjury of the federal laws.
15
16

17 DATE: *July 28, 2008*
18
19

Shirley V. Remmett
20 Plaintiff
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

1
2 SHIRLEY V. REMMERT, PRO SE
3 990 Berkeley Avenue
4 Menlo Park, CA 94025
5 Tel: 650-921-8820

6
7
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9
10 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
11
12 SAN FRANCISCO

13 Shirley V. Remmert,
14 Plaintiff,

15 [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING
16 ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO DEEM
17 CASES RELATED

18 v.

19 CASE NO. C-08-1645 CRB

20 State of California;
21 District Attorney of San Mateo County,
22 James P. Fox

23 Having considered the administrative motion by Plaintiff Shirley V. Remmert to
24 consider whether petitions for writ of habeas corpus are related, and the opposition, the
25 court GRANTS the motions and declares the cases related.

26 SIGNED on _____

27
28 JUDGE OF THE U. S. DISTRICT COURT

161

1 PROOF OF SERVICE
2

3 Certificate of Service

4 I hereby certify that I have served the following document(s):

5 PLAINTIFF'S REQUEST FOR ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO CONSIDER
6 WHETHER CASES SHOULD BE RELATED (CIVIL L. R. 7-11/ PROPOSED ORDER

7 U. S. District Court Case No. C-08-1645 CRB

8 To the party(ies):

9

10 1. State of Calif. Attorney General, 1300 I- Street, Sacramento, CA 95814;
11 2. District Attorney James P. Fox, 400 County Center, Redwood City, CA 94063

12 I, Shirley V. Remmert, personally served Party(ies) No. 2 on July 29, 2008 at the
13 said address.

14 I, Arturo Garcia, am over 18 years of age and not a party in this case. I mailed by
15 first-class mail the above document to Party(ies) No. 1 on July 29, 2008.

16 I declare that the above statement is true and correct under the penalties for
17 perjury of the federal laws.

18 July 29, 2008

19 Date

Shirley V. Remmert

Shirley V. Remmert

21 July 29, 2008

22 Date



Arturo Garcia